

Dear Board of Commission on Law Enforcement Accountability, and Transparency,

My name is Lauria Kelley- Brandao I would like to express my deepest gratitude for all the hard work within the community of Black Lives Matter that created a platform for the people to have a voice. Thank- you!

I ask this Board of Commission to examine the attached documents in light of Emotional and Psychological Harm that is *currently* being done because of lack of accountability.

Emotional and Psychological harm by never being validated for the last seven plus years by a systemic breakdown in communication and accountability within the Agencies and Offices starting from the ground up.

Some of what I've learned through my experiences of attempting effective communication between all Offices' and Agencies within Manchester Police Department, Gilmanton Police Department, Gilmanton Prosecutor, Hillsborough County Attorney's Office, Chief Executive of Department of Health and Human Services, Attorney General's Office, Field Agents within the Commissioner's Office, Supervisors of D.C.Y.F. and the Family Court.

1. When Policy and Procedures between Agencies and/or Law have been broken, not only is it a systemic failure but it also allows victims to remain victims for years. The only "solution" was to open a lawsuit. Leaving a single mother the responsibility to protect her daughter.
2. Prosecutors who work with Police Departments that are not Attorneys are allowed to apply attorney/client privilege, confidential when requesting emails through 91-A Right To Know.
3. The attachments I chose to share with this Commission is to show the severity and duration of the controlling behavior.
4. Intentional Organized Chaos created by accusations involving the above agencies, school, coaches, employment, friends and family leads to isolation especially done for more than the last six years.
5. R.S.A. 641.. False reporting is left up to the Law Enforcers morals, ethics and accountability to pick and choose what warrants a false report. (in writing or not in writing)

6. Law enforcers are not required to validate a court order when asked to write a report. Validating a platform for communication to eliminate mistrust unless an Emergency.
7. Restraining orders are validated when Law Enforcers are required to write a report.
8. D.C.Y.F, Law Enforcement Agencies and the Attorney General's Office are able to close an investigation when Policy and Procedures were not followed and or a break in Law by collectively not generating their Legal Emergency Assist Letter or L.E.A. Letter. (initial 24 hour assessment)
9. Police Departments can refuse to investigate within their jurisdictions when involvement of a fellow Brother or Sister is employed by a different Police Department.
10. When an Officer of Law acts in complete opposition of their Mission Statement of the Police Policy Standard and Training such as Moonlighting an option to resign is the solution.
11. D.C.Y.F. does not go back within their database "Bridges" to re-evaluate any cases for any reason.
12. L.E.A. Letters are not part of Police Reports, 91-A or D.C.Y.F. records and there is no universal database to prevent this process from not being communicated, even though the procedure requires more than three signatures especially involving a C.A.C. interview.
13. There is no tracking within the agencies of how many times a person makes an accusation to help guide Law Enforcers when applying R.S.A.641
14. R.S.A. 169-C Mandatory Reporting - A licenced therapist who was suggested by D.C.Y.F. during the time of a C.A.C. interview. whose Policy is to not take the stand in court meet the requierments of this R.S.A. Even though the consistent message is the child is in fear of her father, but not *physically reported* in every investigation stemming from countless unfounded accusations.
15. D.C.Y.F. refuses to produce a copy of Policy and Procedures with Law Enforcement and refers to Law Enforcement to provide it. Law Enforcement redacts entire pages that are specifically asked for through the 91-A process.

16. Family Court holds high expectations when asking for relief such as psychological evaluations. The courts are not designed to allow patterns of behaviors over a period of time to be evaluated because once a case is heard and ruled on it becomes the past.
17. The importance of documentation of accusations without physical or sexual abuse is similar to what I imagine the buddy system to be like in the diverse communities always needing a witness. The space within our minds and body is consumed by Emotional and Psychological Harm of never being believed or validated.
18. Law Enforcers when writing a report can redact without leaving a trace. observations made by an Officer that warrant a consequence of a False Police Report being made.

Because of the Breakdown in *communication* and lack of *collective accountability* is still allowing the controlling behavior to continue. The Family Court is the only platform that allowed my voice to be heard. I made an honest effort to try and work within the Agencies finding a solution. before I would speak in court. Given the factual documentation that I shared openly with the above I don't think it's fair for one Honorable Judge to have to do the jobs of all the Agencies as the *Solution*.

I feel the following would help with communication and accountability within the Agencies.

1. Universal Database that tracks accusations to help all Victims of Controlling Behavior not specific to Sexual and Physical Abuse.
2. I feel that any grey areas should be re-evaluated within the RSA's such as 641 False Reporting to Law and 169-C Mandatory Reporting.
3. Universal Redaction Policy and Procedure.
4. Policy and Procedures between ALL Law Enforcement Agencies and D.C.Y.F. be public knowledge and Universal.
5. Jurisdiction should apply to the homeowner/taxpayer/resident when asking for help or investigation.
6. The Family Courts to Require all professional Documentation through a central database when a C.A.C. Interview has been deemed appropriate for a minor by the Attorney

General's Office following their Policy and Procedure. Eliminating the break in Law when lacking proper communication for any Agency to close an investigation with a proper finding.

7. D.C.Y.F. to be allowed to go back within their database Bridges to see patterns of behavior that warrants a finding of Emotional and Psychological Harm.
8. Town Administrators oversee The Chief of Police throughout the hiring process.
9. Require DCYF to view cases as an entire instead of each case being a new assessment. This would allow wrongful labels as perpetrators to be redacted.
10. Prosecutors are not allowed to have client/attorney privileges with Law Enforcers.

Sincerely,

Laura Kelley

(This also prohibits any patterns of emotional and psychological abuse even with proper mandatory reporting.)

(I feel that giving funding to a foundation that is broken within the deepest of roots is not the solution but more pollution. Pollution that could be purified if everyone would take a big breath and blow accountability without individuals being held accountable but agencies working together to make a change for the future and a difference in people's lives now.)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **O'Connor, Michael** <Michael.O'Connor@dhhs.nh.gov>

Date: Fri, Jul 5, 2019 at 3:25 PM

Subject: FW: Laura Kelley

To: lkelly603@gmail.com <lkelly603@gmail.com>

Laura,

Per our conversation last week and in the email below, you stated I said, "Mr. O'Connor ... shared with me that the LEA letter did not become law until 2016 and that this letter was only part of policy or procedure." I did not state that. I said to you in 2016 the then Director of DCYF directed all staff to send the LEA letter, even if they had been in contact with the police department and they already had communicated face to face, by phone, e-mail, etc... Prior to 2016, those forms of communication were document in Bridges. I also said I could not find in the contacts in May of 2014 any documentation that a LEA letter was sent. There was a contact, a phone call with Manchester Det. Nolan about the assessment on May 1, 2014.

Mike

Subject: RE: Laura Kelley
To: Laura Kelley <lkelley603@gmail.com>

Ms. Kelley,

I write in response to your most recent email, sent today, August 12, 2019. I noted that, the issues you bring up, are issues you have previously brought to the attention of our office through prior contacts with Investigator Freitas and Investigator Kinney. It is also noted that you have previously had contact with Investigator Putney of the Hillsborough County Attorney's Office, who provided you with a detailed response as well.

These are not issues that our office would be involved in, therefore, as is our practice, you were advised how to seek more guidance. This is why you were provided with information as to how and with whom to file your complaints as well as the information concerning pro-bono attorneys.

Regards,

Todd M. Flanagan
Deputy Chief, Investigator
NH Department of Justice
Office of the Attorney General
33 Capitol Street
Concord, NH 03301
(603) 271-1208
Fax (603) 271-2110





GILMANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT

PO Box 190 – 297 NH Route 140
Gilmanton, NH 03237

Police Services: (603) 267-7401
Fax: (603) 267-7403

Matthew Currier
Chief of Police

Casey Brennan
Sergeant

Dear Ms. Kelley:

February 18, 2020

This letter responds to your RSA 91-A Request dated January 22, 2020, in which you sought "all documentation about [you]" that is in the possession of the Gilmanton Police Department. On February 4, 2020, I responded to your request stating that "[d]ue to the volume of your requests I will need at least 30 business days to research, gather, documents, and consult with legal, should these documents exist."

I have since arranged for the compilation and review of all documents responsive to your RSA 91-A request. Those documents are available for pick-up at the Town Hall. The responsive documents have been redacted in accordance with the privacy exemption set forth in RSA 91-A:5, IV and the law enforcement exemption adopted in Murray v. N.H. Div. of State Police, 154 N.H. 579 (2006).

The Department has withheld from disclosure certain documents as the entirety. Those documents are:

Document Withheld	Basis for Non-Disclosure
Incident Report/Communications/Pictures from 19-90-OF	Law Enforcement Exemption. See <u>Murray v. N.H. Div. of State Police</u> , 154 N.H. 579 (2006).
Incident Report and Related Documents from 15-61-OF	Law Enforcement Exemption. See <u>Murray v. N.H. Div. of State Police</u> , 154 N.H. 579 (2006).
Email between Casey Brennan and Town Prosecutor, Dave Estes, dated March 19, 2019	Attorney-Client Privileged and Confidential
Various Emails between Matthew Currier and Town Counsel, January 7, 2020 to Present	Attorney-Client Privileged and Confidential

Please know that the responsive documentation provided is limited to those documents within the Gilmanton Police Department's possession, custody, and control and do not include such records which may be in the possession of the Town Administrator's Office or other Town of Gilmanton Departments. My assembling of responsive records has been limited to those in the possession, custody, or control of the Police Department because your request was transmitted to and received by this Department.

If you have any questions, comments, or concerns regarding this letter or the responsive materials, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully,
Chief Matthew Currier